UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Rebecca Romero,	_x	USDS SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED:
Plaintiff(s),		07 Civ. 9302 (CM) (GWG)
-against-		
BNP Paribas		
Desendant(s),	_x	

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

(for all cases except patent, IDEA and ERISA benefits cases, and cases subject to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act)

- 1. This case is/is not to be tried to a jury.
- 2. Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by 11 2.8 07
- 3. No additional parties may be joined efter 12/28/07
- 4. No pleading may be amended after 90 days following receipt of Notice of Right to Sue letter from EEOC.
- 5. If your case is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States Supreme Court's observation that the issue of qualified immunity should be decided before discovery is conducted, counsel representing any defendant who intends to claim qualified immunity must comply with the special procedure set forth in Judge McMahon's individual rules, which can be found at www.nysd.uscourts.gov.

Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity roles constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity prior to trial. Please identify any party who is moving to dismiss on qualified immunity grounds.

- 6. All discovery, including expert discovery, must be completed on or before

 4-25/08 (For personal injury, civil rights, employment
 discrimination or medical malpractice cases only): Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and
 shall be completed by 1/8/08 PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery,
 including expert discovery" means that the parties must select and disclose their experts'
 identifies and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of
 the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the
 following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by 2/29/08 ; Defendant(s)
 expert report(s) by 3/14/08
- 7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nysd.uscourts.gov.
- 8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate Gorenstein for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro sc cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limine motions), shall be submitted on or before __6 9 08 __. Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limine motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned dute.
- 11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foresceable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated:

New York, New York

Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]

ANNE L. CLARK (AC 6456)

Vladerk, Waldman, Elias & Englishard, PC

1501 Broadway Juste 800

New Yerk, NY 10636

212,403.7332

RUHARO T. REIBSTEIN (RR 8734)

Wolf, Block, Schor & Solis - Cohon LLP

250 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10177 212.883.4485

SO, ORDERED:

Hon. College McMahon United States District Judge

11-14-07

VLADECK, WALDMAN, ELIAS & ENGELHARD, P.C.

1501 BROADWAY

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036

TEL 212/403-7300

FAX 212/221-3172



FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE:	November 14, 2007 TIME:		
TO:	Honorable Colleen McMahon		
	cc: Richard J. Reibstein, Esq. (by fax)		
FIRM NAME:	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York		
FAX NUMBER:	212-805-6326		
PHONE NUMBER:	212-805-6325		
FROM:	Anne L. Clark		
MESSAGE:	Civil Case Management Plan for Romero v. BNP Paribas 07 Civ. 9302 (CM) (GWG)		
NUMBER OF PAGES FAXED (including this one)4			
IF A PROBLEM IN TRANSMISSION OCCURS, CONTACT 212/403-7377			

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX TRANSMISSION IS AN ATTORNEY COMMUNICATION WHICH IS PRIVILEGED OR IS PERSONAL OR CONFIDENTIAL AND THUS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ABOVE-NAMED RECIPIENT. IF A TECHNICAL PROBLEM CAUSES THIS FAX COMMUNICATION TO BE TRANSMITTED ERRONEOUSLY TO AN ORGANIZATION OR INDIVIDUAL OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THIS FIRM, BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE, BY MAIL, TO THE ADDRESS REFERENCED ABOVE. THANK YOU.

HARD COPY _____(WILL) __X _(WILL NOT) BE SENT